IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

REC SOFTWARE USA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BAMBOO SOLUTIONS CORPORATION; MICROSOFT CORPORATION; SAP AMERICA, INC.; AND SAP AG,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 2:10cv530-RAJ-TEM

Jury Trial Demanded

PLAINTIFF REC SOFTWARE USA, INC.'S ANSWER AND REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Plaintiff REC Software USA, Inc. hereby answers and replies to the counterclaims of Defendant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"). The paragraphs in this reply are numbered to correspond with the paragraph numbers in Microsoft's counterclaims. All of the allegations of Microsoft's counterclaims not specifically admitted herein are hereby denied.

Nature of the Counterclaims

1. Plaintiff admits that Microsoft's counterclaims allege counterclaims for declaratory judgments of non-infringement and invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 5,854,936 (the "'936 patent") asserted against Microsoft. Plaintiff denies any allegations of invalidity or non-infringement by Microsoft of the '936 patent

Parties

- 2. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of Microsoft's counterclaims.
- 3. Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of Microsoft's counterclaims.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of Microsoft's counterclaims.
- 5. Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of Microsoft's counterclaims.
- 6. Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of Microsoft's counterclaims.
- 7. Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of Microsoft's counterclaims

<u>COUNT I – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT</u>

- 8. Plaintiff incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 7 of Microsoft's counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 9. Plaintiff admits that an actual and justiciable case or controversy exists between Microsoft and Plaintiff REC with respect to the non-infringement of the '936 patent by virtue of Plaintiff REC's allegations of infringement of that patent by Microsoft, which allegations Microsoft denies. Plaintiff denies the remainder of paragraph 9 of Microsoft's counterclaims.
- 10. Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of Microsoft's counterclaims.

COUNT II – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY

- 11. Plaintiff incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 10 of Microsoft's counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 12. Plaintiff admits that an actual and justiciable case or controversy exists between Microsoft and Plaintiff REC concerning the validity of the '936 patent by virtue of Plaintiff REC's allegations of infringement by Microsoft and validity of that patent, which allegations Microsoft denies. Plaintiff denies the remainder of paragraph 12 of Microsoft's counterclaims.

2

13. Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of Microsoft's counterclaims.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury as of right in this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff denies that Microsoft is entitled to the relief it seeks or any relief for the allegations made in its counterclaims. Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered in its favor on all issues and that it be awarded the appropriate damages, exceptional damages, costs, and attorneys' fees.

Dated: February 2, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

REC SOFTWARE USA, INC.,

By Counsel

/s/ Nichole Buck Vanderslice

Nichole Buck Vanderslice (VSB No. 42637)

Email: nvanderslice@cblaw.com
R. Braxton Hill, IV (VSB No. 41539)

Email: bhill@cblaw.com

CHRISTIAN & BARTON, LLP 909 East Main Street, Suite 1200

Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095

Telephone: 804-697-4100

Fax: 804-697-4112

Gregory S. Dovel (admitted pro hac vice)
Richard Lyon (admitted pro hac vice)
DOVEL & LUNER, LLP
201 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 600

201 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 600 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Telephone: 310-656-7066

Facsimile: 310-657-7069

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF REC USA SOFTWARE, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 2nd day of February, 2011, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Ruffin B. Cordell, Esq. cordell@fr.com
Joshua Pond, Esq. pond@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1425 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Kelly C. Hunsaker, Esq. Katherine K. Lutton, Esq. hunsaker@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Ste. 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Amy L. Brown, Esq.

amy.brown@ssd.com

Jeremy W. Dutra, Esq.

jeremy.dutra@ssd.com

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY, LLP

Suite 500

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Counsel for Bamboo Solutions, Corp.

Counsel for Defendants Microsoft Corp., SAP America, Inc. and SAP, AG

By: /s/ Nichole Buck Vanderslice
Nichole Buck Vanderslice (VSB #42637)

nvanderslice@cblaw.com
CHRISTIAN & BARTON, L.L.P.
909 East Main Street, Suite 1200
Richmond, VA 23219
Telephone: (804) 697-4100
Facsimile: (804) 697-4112

Counsel for Plaintiff REC Software USA, Inc.